FILE COPY

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1959

No. 581

GERALD DUNITZ, *et al.*,

Appellants,

vs.

THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, *et al.*,

Appellees.

On Appeal From the Supreme Court of the State of California.

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION.

JOHN W. HOLMES,
c/o Dunlap, Holmes,
Ross & Woodson,
800 First Western Bank Building,
Pasadena, California.
Attorney for Appellants.

Parker & Son, Inc., Law Printers, Los Angeles. Phone MA. 6-9171.

SUBJECT INDEX

PAGE

Opinions below 1

Grounds on which jurisdiction of this court is invoked 2

Questions presented by this appeal 5

Appellants' basic contentions on this appeal 5

Concise statement of the case 6

The federal questions here presented are substantial 9

1. The City of Los Angeles claims power to destroy valuable buildings under its police power, without compensation to the owner and without proof that they are nuisances in fact or that the public safety requires their destruction 9

2. The California courts have herein denied judicial protection of property rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States 11

3. The ordinance denies appellants equal protection of the laws 14

Summary 17

Appendices:

Appendix A. Opinion of the District Court of Appeal ..... App. p. 1

Appendix B. Opinion and Order Upon General Demurrer ..... App. p. 9

Appendix C. Ordinance Sections 96.100-96.128 of Chapter 6, Article 9 ..... App. p. 43

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE
Armstead v. City of Los Angeles, 152 Cal. App. 2d 319, 313 P. 2d 127	11, 14
Berman v. Parker, 348 U. S. 26, 99 L. Ed. 27, 75 S. Ct. 98	17
Brooks v. City of Oakland, 160 Cal. 423, 117 Pac. 433	13
City of Houston v. Lurie, 148 Tex. 391, 224 S. W. 2d 871, 14 A. L. R. 2d 61	16
Connolly v. Union Sewer Pipe Co., 184 U. S. 540, 46 L. Ed. 679, 22 S. Ct. 431	15
Dehail v. Morford, 95 Cal. 457, 30 Pac. 593	13
Federal Communications Com. v. American Broad. Co., 347 U. S. 284, 98 L. Ed. 699, 74 S. Ct. 593	12
Guessfeldt v. McGrath, 342 U. S. 308, 96 L. Ed. 342, 72 S. Ct. 388	12
Gulf C. & S. R.R. Co. v. Ellis, 165 U. S. 150, 41 L. Ed. 666, 17 S. Ct. 255	15
Jamison v. State of Texas, 318 U. S. 413, 63 S. Ct. 669, 87 L. Ed. 869	3
John P. King Manufacturing Company. v. City Council of Augusta, 277 U. S. 100, 48 S. Ct. 489, 72 L. Ed. 801	3
Ketterer v. United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co., 84 F. 2d 736	13
Lane v. Wilson, 307 U. S. 208, 83 L. Ed. 1281, 59 S. Ct. 808	16
Lovell v. Griffen, 303 U. S. 444, 82 L. Ed. 949, 58 S. Ct. 666	10
McFarland v. American Sugar Ref. Co., 241 U. S. 79, 60 L. Ed. 899, 36 S. Ct. 498	14
Morgan v. United States, 304 U. S. 1, 82 L. Ed. 1129, 58 S. Ct. 723	10
Morrison v. California, 291 U. S. 82, 781 L. Ed. 644, 54 S. Ct. 281	16
Newton v. Town of Highland Park, 282 S. W. 2d 266	13
Pacific Portland Cement Co. v. Food Machinery etc. Co., 178 Fed. 541	16

PAGE

Panhandle E. Pipe Line Co. v. State Highway Com., 294 U. S. 613, 79 L. Ed. 1090, 55 S. Ct. 563 9

Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon, 260 U. S. 393, 67 L. Ed. 322, 43 S. Ct. 158 ..... 9, 19

Redevelopment Agency v. Hayes, 122 Cal. App. 2d 777, 266 P. 2d 105 17

Rochin v. California, 342 U. S. 165, 96 L. Ed. 183, 72 S. Ct. 205 14

Shields v. Utah, Idaho C. R. Co., 305 U. S. 177, 83 L. Ed. 111, 59 S. Ct. 160 14

Smith v. Anderson Bros. Corp., 104 Fed. Supp. 117 13

Smith v. Cahoon, 283 U. S. 553, 75 L. Ed. 1264, 51 S. Ct. 582 10

Southwick v. Santa Barbara, 158 Cal. 14, 109 Pac. 610 13

Speiser v. Randall, 357 U. S. 513, 2 L. Ed. 2d 1460, 78 S. Ct. 1332 10

Staub v. City of Baxley, 355 U. S. 313, 78 S. Ct. 277, 2 L. Ed. 2d 302 ..... 3, 10

Stoetzner v. City of Los Angeles, 170 A. C. A. 485, 338 P. 2d 971 14

Sweet v. Rechel, 159 U. S. 380, 40 L. Ed. 188, 16 S. Ct. 43 9

United States v. Beaver Run Coal Co., 99 F. 2d 610 13

Van Hoff v. Redevelopment Agency, 348 U. S. 897, 99 L. Ed. 705, 75 S. Ct. 214 17

Yates v. Milwaukee, 10 Wall. 497, 19 L. Ed. 984 11

Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U. S. 356, 30 L. Ed. 220, 6 S. Ct. 1064 16

STATUTES

Housing Act of 1949, Title 1 (Pub. Law 171, 81st Cong.) 17

Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 91.0103 ..... 14, 15

Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.100 3

Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.101(a) 3

PAGE

Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.101.2 ..... 3, 15
Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.102 3
Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.103 3
Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.104 3
Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.106 15
Los Angeles Municipal Code, Secs. 96.106-96.110 3
Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.112 3
Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.115 4
Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.118 4
Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.119-126 4
Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.127 4
Los Angeles Municipal Code, Sec. 96.128 4
United States Code Annotated, Title 28, Sec. 1257(2) 2
United States Code Annotated, Title 42, Secs. 1441-1460 17
United States Constitution, Fourteenth Amendment 5

TEXTBOOKS

44 American Law Reports 2d, anno., pp. 1414, 1417-1420 17
8 Hastings Law Journal (Nov. 1956), pp. 64, 68, Riddle, The Elimination of the Non-Conforming Use in California 18